

Changes in prevalence and disabling effects of diseases in the older Dutch population between 1987 and 2001

Martine Puts EMGO Institute, VU University Medical Center Dorly Deeg EMGO Institute, VU University Medical Center Nancy Hoeymans National Institute of Public Health and the Environment Wilma Nusselder Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam François Schellevis Netherlands Institute of Health Services Research

Background

- Studies from other countries show an *increase* in the prevalence of chronic diseases and a *decrease* in the prevalence of disability
- Studies have shown changes in disabling effects of chronic diseases
- Possible explanations:
 - Early diagnosis of diseases → prevalence of disease increases, disabilities do not increase
 - Diseases are less disabling (due to better medical care and use of assistive devices) → prevalence of diseases does not increase, disabilities decrease



Objectives

- To examine changes in the prevalence of chronic diseases and disability in persons aged 55 and over between 1987 and 2001 in the Netherlands
- To examine whether the association between chronic diseases (GP registered and self-report) and disability has changed in the Netherlands between 1987 and 2001

Study Sample

- Dutch National Survey of General Practice
 - First in 1987
 - Second in 2001
- 103 (1987) and 104 (2001) general practices, all listed patients
- 5% of listed patients (18+) received questionnaire
 - 10,127 in 1987 (response 76%)
 - 9,685 in 2001 (response 65%)
- In our analyses, patients of 55 years and older are selected
 - 2,708 in 1987
 - 3,474 in 2001



Measurement of disability

Mobility disability

- ✓ Carrying a bag of 5 kg for 400 metres
- ✓ Climbing a staircase
- Lifting an object while standing
- ✓ Walking 400 meters

ADL disability

- Transfer to another room on the same floor
- \checkmark Get in and out of bed
- ✓ Dress and undress oneself

Il items dichotomized into: difficulty – no difficulty Officulty = much difficulty or not able to perform <u>></u> 1 item





Measurement of disease

Self-report disease

- Diabetes mellitus
- Cardiac disease
- Asthma/COPD
- Low back
 complaints

GP diagnoses -Diabetes mellitus -Cardiac disease -Cardiac arrhythmia's -Stroke -Rheumatoid arthritis -Osteoarthritis of hip or knee -Asthma/COPD -Low back complaints -Depression -Anxiety



Statistical analysis (1)

Q1 Examine differences in the prevalence of diseases and isabilities between 1987 and 2001

- Both populations standardized according to Dutch population of 2001
- Logistic regression analyses with year of study as independent variable, adjusted for age, educational attainment and number of face-to-face contacts (for GPregistered diseases only)
- Differences between men and women tested with an interaction term year of study*sex
- Dependent variable chronic disease respectively disability



Statistical analysis (2)

Q2: Examine whether the association between chronic iseases (GP registered and self-report) and disability has hanged between 1987 and 2001.

✓ Logistic regression analyses
✓ Dependent variable: disability
✓ Independent variables: diseases
✓ Interaction term: disease*year of study
✓ Adjusted for age, sex, education and comorbidity



Study sample (1)

	1987 %	2001 %	
	N=2708	N=3474	
Men	46.4	46.4	
Nomen	53.6	53.6	
55-74 years	76.4	76.4	
75+	23.6	23.6	
_ow education#	51.5	28.2 *	
ntermediate education#	41.0	57.2	
High education#	7.5	14.7	
ow education=none or primary school_middle=secondary school or			

ow education=none or primary school, middle=secondary school or ower or middle vocational education, high=high vocational education or niversity

p < 0.05



Study sample (2)

elf-reported disease and isability	1987 % N=2708	2001 % N=3474	
iabetes	6.1	9.0*	
ardiac Disease	16.5	13.0*	
ow back complaints	20.4	16.7*	
sthma/COPD	12.1	9.3*	
ercentage >=1 disease	42.6	38.0*	
lobility limitations	42.9	25.7*	
DL disability	8.0	3.4*	

n < 0.05



Study sample (3)

GP diagnosed disease	1987 % N=2708	2001 % N=3474
Diabetes	2.9	4.2*
Cardiac Disease	4.9	3.3*
Low back complaints	2.2	2.1
Asthma/COPD	3.6	1.8*
Percentage >=1 disease	16.9	13.5*



Change in prevalence (RQ1)

elf-reported isease and isability	Men OR (95%CI)	Women OR (95%CI
Diabetes	2.22 (1.60-3.09)*	1.48 (1.14-1.91)*
ardiac Disease	1.02 (0.83-1.26)*	0.62 (0.50-0.78)*
ow back complaints	0.72 (0.59-0.88)	0.86 (0.72-1.02)
sthma/COPD	0.55 (0.43-0.70)*	1.22 (0.95-1.56)*
lobility limitations	0.38 (0.31-0.46)*	0.58 (0.49-0.67)*
DL disability	0.39 (0.25-0.61)	0.44 (0.32-0.59)

* = significantly different between men and women



Change in disabling effects (RQ2)

	Mobility 1987	Mobility 2001	ADL 1987	ADL 2001
iabetes	1.64 (1.2-2.4)	1.80 (1.4-2.4)	1.54 (0.9-2.5)	1.33 (0.8-2.3)
ardiac isease	2.92 (2.3-3.7)*	1.88 (1.5-2.4)*	2.24 (1.6-3.2)	1.50 (0.9-2.4)
ow Back omplaints	3.45 (2.8-4.3)*	4.64 (3.8-5.7)*	2.44 (1.8-3.4)*	4.71 (3.2-7.0)'
sthma/ OPD	3.13 (2.4-4.1)*	1.97 (1.5-2.6)*	2.20 (1.50-3.3)	2.4 (1.5-3.7)

* = significantly different between 1987 and 2001



Conclusions (1)

- Decrease in prevalence of all diseases except diabetes mellitus (increase)
- The change in prevalence of the diseases were similar for GP registered and self-reported diseases (results not shown)
- Decrease in prevalence of mobility disability and ADL disability



Conclusions (2)

The disabling effects of the diseases show different results for different diseases:

-fatal diseases such as cardiac diseases, asthma/COPD became *less* disabling -non-fatal diseases such as low back complaints and osteoarthritis became *more* disabling -for the other diseases no change in disabling effect



Strengths and limitations (1)

- Low prevalence of doctor-diagnosed disease limited the power of the analyses
- Questionnaires for disability and disease were not identical in 1987 and 2001, some misclassification might be present in our data
- It was not possible to distinguish disability according to severity. The decrease we found in (severe) disability might be accompanied with in increase in mild disability



Strengths and limitations (2)

- Both the first and second Dutch National Survey of General Practice are representative of general practice in the Netherlands
- Both doctor-diagnosed and self-reported disease show similar trends



Questions?



Thank you for your attention!