

Inequalities in health expectancies at sma area level: England & Wales, 200

Madhavi Bajekal REVES 2005

Health questions in the 2001 Census, EW

HLE (Healthy Life Expectancy)

• Over the last 12 months, would you say your health has on the whole been:

	» Males	Females	Persons
• Good	71%	66%	69%
 Fairly good 	20%	24%	22%
 Not good 	9%	10%	9%

DFLE (Disability-free Life Expectancy)

• Do you have any long-term illness, health problem or disability which limits your activities or the work you can do? Include problem due to old age.

	» Males	Females	Persons
No	83%	81%	82%
Yes	17%	19%	18%

Overview of Analyses

Comparative

- by wards grouped into population 20ths by deprivation
- between and within Region (GOR) variation
- by area types (e.g. industrial, countryside etc)

Explanatory

- Linear regression: socio-economic predictors of HLE
- Multi-level modelling: contextual effects
- Both above types of analysis
 - Separately by sex (2)
 - For HLE, DFLE (2)
 - At birth, at age 65 (2)

Sources & Methods

- Data sources: ward level, E&W
 - Mid-year population estimates, 2001
 - Deaths: 1999 to 2003 (n=2,691,749)
 - Health status: rates of 'good' and 'fairly good' health and with no limiting illness, 2001 Census (incl those in institutions)
 - Area deprivation: Carstairs index of deprivation, 2001
 - Area typology: ONS Classification of Areas, 2001
- Method:
 - Abridged life tables (<1, 1-4,5-9..85+)</p>
 - Sullivan's method to calc health expectancies

Results:

In this presentation, we focus on results for:

- Health life expectancy (HLE)
- Males
- At birth
- Wards (n= 8000, av pop 5,500)

Comparative (by deprivation, region, area type)
Linear regression (socio-economic predictors)

HLE by deprivation 20ths: Males@birth



Magnitude of health inequalities: deprivation 20ths, Males@birth, E&W, 2001

	LE	HLE	Not-good	% life in
	(years)	(years)	health	not-good
E & W	75.9	68.8	7.1	9.3
Least depr (1)	79.1	74.6	4.5	5.7
Most depr (20)	71.5	61.2	10.3	14.4
Absolute diff				
Diff (1-20)	7.6	13.4	5.8	8.8
Slope Index	7.6	13.2	5.7	
Relative diff				
Rate ratio (20/1)	1.1	1.2	2.3	2.6
Relative Index	1.1	1.2	2.3	

Healthy Life Expectancy at birth for Males by Region, England & Wales, 2001



Within-Region differences in HLE: least & most deprived wards



Within-Region differences in HLE: Relative Index of Inequality



By ONS Area Type (16 Groups)

	HLENo	t good	LE
Built-up Manufacturing	61.3	10.4	71.8
Transitional Economies	63.8	9.0	72.8
Built-up Areas	64.2	8.3	72.5
Inner City Multicultural	64.2	8.8	73.1
Multicultural Areas	64.5	8.9	73.4
Out of Town Housing	66.0	8.2	74.2
Industrial Areas	66.9	8.4	75.3
Student Communities	68.4	6.9	75.3
Out of Town Manufacturing	68.5	7.3	75.8
Prospering Metropolitan	69.7	6.7	76.5
Countryside	70.3	6.5	76.9
Suburbs	71.5	5.8	77.3
Senior Communities	72.0	6.1	78.0
Commuter Suburbs	72.3	5.5	77.7
Prospering Suburbs	73.1	5.1	78.2
Accessible Countryside	72 0	47	70 E

Regression analysis: methods

- HLE at individual ward level
 - Excl wards in Wales
 - Excl wards with population <1000 (or <5000 PYR)
 - Excl wards with zero population in intermediate age bands
 - Valid wards: Males n=7125
- Predictors
 - 50 Socio-economic variables from 2001 Census
 - 6 Domains of multiple deprivation derived mainly from administrative & survey data

Predictors: socio-economic

% of:	Beta Coeff	Std Error	Stand. Coeff	Sig
Overcrowded	4.22	1.01	0.05	<1%
Semi/routine occupation	-2.85	0.66	-0.07	<1%
Low education (NVQ1 or none)	-5.91	0.59	-0.15	<1%
Unemployed (of EA)	-19.00	1.78	-0.13	<1%
Lone pensioner	14.28	0.99	0.13	<1%
Non-white ethnic (inc mixed)	1.11	0.35	0.03	2%
Informal carers	-7.36	1.92	-0.03	<1%
Married/Cohabiting	18.18	0.74	0.37	<1%
Multi-deprived HH (3/4)	-34.89	1.16	-0.40	<1%
Hi market value housing	2.35	0.24	0.08	<1%
Rural	0.09	0.06	0.01	8%
Constant	64.65			
Adj R-sq	0.82			

Predictors: domains of deprivation (IMD2004)

	Beta Coeff	Std Error	Stand. Coeff	Sig
Income Deprivation	-9.53	0.791	-0.19	<1%
(on income benefits)				
Employment Deprivation	-34.79	0.940	-0.48	<1%
(registered unemployed)				
Education/Skills Deprivation	-0.04	0.003	-0.13	<1%
(e.g. not in educ 16+, adults <64 with low/no quals)				-
Barriers to Housing & Services	0.02	0.002	0.04	<1%
(e.g. access to shops, GP)				
Crime (incidence)	-0.65	0.045	-0.11	<1%
Environment	-0.03	0.002	-0.08	<1%
(house condition, air quality)				
Constant	74.91			
Adj R-sq	0.82			

Summary of results

- Males in the most depr wards spend more than twice the proportion of their life in poor health compared to men in the least depr wards (14% vs 6%)
- Within-Region, inequality gradient largest in North West, and smallest in East of Eng.
- Multiple deprivation within hhld, high unemployment, low education, crime, poor physical environment, all reduce HLE.
- HLE is higher in areas with high % of couple families, high-value housing and in prosperous suburbs.
- After controlling for other factors, HLE higher in areas with high % of ethnic minorities, but not in rural areas

Future work plan

- Decompose gap in HLE between deprivation groups by age and cause to inform targeted policy action
- Compare Census and Survey-based HLE for noninstitutional populations (impact of non-response on HLE level and trends)
- Compare health-adjusted LE using the 3-point and 5point general health questions.
- Use Health Survey data to identify how different health conditions and individual characteristics affect reporting of SAH



Inequalities in health expectancies at sma area level: England & Wales, 200

Madhavi Bajekal REVES 2005