Health expectancy of the Inuit-inhabited areas of Canada

Russell Wilkins & Philippe Finès Statistics Canada

REVES 2005

International Network on Health Expectancy Renmin University, Beijing, 18-20 May 2005

Affiliations of co-authors

- Russell Wilkins: Health Analysis and Measurement Group, Statistics Canada, and Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa
- Philippe Finès: Health Analysis and Measurement Group, Statistics Canada
- Éric Guimond, Sacha Senécal: Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Strategic Research and Analysis Directorate
- Adam Probert: First Nations and Inuit Health Branch, Health Canada
- Jean-Marie Berthelot: Health Analysis and Measurement Group, Statistics Canada, and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McGill University



* Includes multiple and other Aboriginal origins, not shown separately. By self-identification (also known by ethnic origins, languages, band affiliation, legal status, and residence on- or off-reserve)

Circumpolar regions snowing inuit-innabited areas



North-South Relationship, Canada, 1996



Objective Determine feasibility of calculating health expectancy from existing data

- Aboriginal status not available on most administrative records in Canada
- Because Inuit settlements are both isolated and largely homogeneous, a geographic-based approach is possible

Inuit-inhabited areas of Canada Population 1996

- All Inuit areas (>=33%; av=83% Inuit) 39 700
- Northwest Territories (Inuvialuit*) 2 500

 6 settlements
- Nunavut (entire Territory) 24 600
 28 settlements
- Northern Québec (Nunavik*)
 9 300

3 300

- 14 settlements
- Labrador (Nunatsiavut*)
 - 6 settlements
- * Closely equivalent re settlements but not necessarily areas.

Age pyramids Canada Inuit areas



Population characteristics			
Inu	it areas	Canada	
<15 years %	27	15	
>= 65 years %	2.7	12.2	
Inuit mother tongue %	65	0.1	
Immigrants %	1.6	18	
< high school grad %	55	35	
University degree %	6.8	13.2	
Unemployed %	11	7	
Lone parent families %	23	22	
>= 6 pers / household %	23	3	
Av income/person \$	11 600	17 900	

Source: Compiled from Statistics Canada 1996 census EA profiles.

Methods

- Calculate abridged period life tables and associated standard errors using the method of Chiang (1984)
- Calculate health expectancies using the prevalence-based (Sullivan) method, and associated standard errors (Mathers, 1991)
- Calculate disability-adjusted life expectancy (DALE), using arbitrary weights (Wilkins & Adams, 1983)

Data sources by age, sex, municipality

- Census population counts, 1996
 - 39 692 x 5 = 198 460 person-years at risk
- Death registrations, 1994-1998 (5 years)
 1055 deaths (coded to ICD-9)
 - 1 055 deaths (coded to ICD-9)
- Census disability and institutionalization
 - 2 239 limited in activities + 78 institutional
- Census population characteristics
 - detailed socioeconomics for 20% sample

1996 Census disability screening questions

ACTIVITY LIMITATIONS (20% sample, household pop)

- Is this person limited in the kind or amount of activity that he/she can do because of a long-term physical condition, mental condition or health problem:
 - (a) at home?
 - (b) at school or at work?
 - (c) in other activities, for example, in transportation to or from work, or in leisure time activities?
- Does this person have any *long-term* disabilities or handicaps? [THIS QUESTION NOT USED HERE]

1996 Census institutional residence

INSTITUTIONAL RESIDENCE (100% data, total population)

 Collective dwelling type identifies residents of long-term health care facilities

- hospitals—long-term care
- psychiatric hospitals
- nursing homes
- residences for senior citizens (with shared services)

Advantages and disadvantages of using the census disability questions Advantages

- Very detailed breakdown by age, sex and arbitrarilydefined aggregates of small areas
- Same questions and useable amount of data for all ages including children and the oldest old
- Smaller confidence intervals compared to sample surveys

Disadvantages

- Only limited information on severity
- Institutionalized population must be added
- Comparable data from 1986, 1991 & 1996 censuses, but substantial changes to questions on 2001 census



Disability rates, 1996



Institutionalization + Major disability (hh) + Other disability (hh)

Probability of survival, 1994-1998



Health expectancy by sex



Based on 1994-1998 deaths and 1996 census disability and institutionalization.

Potential changes in health expectancy (yrs) Inuit-inhabited areas of Canada, 1996

- If the Inuit areas had the mortality and disability rates of all Canada
- Males +7.2 DFLE +3.3 DLE = +10.6 LE
- Females + 5.6 DFLE + 4.1 DLE = + 9.7 LE

Results -	-males	+ fema	ales (yrs)
In	uit areas	Canada	Difference
• LE	68.0	78.2	10.2
• DLE	6.9	10.7	3.8
– LE INST	0.5	1.5	1.0
– LE MAJ	5.9	8.3	2.4
– LE OTH	0.6	0.8	0.2
• DFLE	61.1	67.5	6.4
• DALE	65.6	74.3	8.7

Due to rounding, sub-categories may not sum to totals. DALE=DFLE*1.0 + LEOTH*0.8 + LEMAJ*0.7 + LEINST*0.5.

Health expectancy by sub-region

Years



Based on 1994-1998 deaths and 1996 census disability and institutionalization.

Standard errors of life expectancy				
1994-1998		Τ	Μ	F
	Canada	0.01	0.02	0.02
	Inuit areas	0.47	0.64	0.67
	– Northwest Territories	2.13	2.55	3.30
	– Nunavut	0.70	0.95	0.95
	– Québec	0.83	1.09	1.32
	– Labrador	1.66	2.14	2.50

Standard errors in years; 95% confidence interval = LE ±1.96 x SE

Health expectancy comparisons



Based on 1994-1998 deaths and 1996 census disability and institutionalization; 1996-1997 deaths for quintiles.

Comparisons within Canada

	Years	LE
Inuit areas Canada	1994-1998	68.0
Registered Indian	1995	72.7
Regist'd Indian on reserve	1990	65.8
Canada total	1945-1947	67.0
Canada total	1950-1952	68.7
Canada total	1994-1998	78.2
Rural Canada	1994-1998	77.2
Northern Canada	1994-1998	72.9
Poorest quintileurban	1971	72.0
Poorest quintileall Can	1996-1997	76.5

International comparisons

- Inuit areas Canada
- Greenland-born
- Chukotka, Siberia
- Alaska Natives
- Alaska Natives
- Turkey
- Canada
- Japan

Years	J_JE	
1994-1998	68.0	
1996-2000	64.8	
1003	64 8	

- 1980
- 1998 1996
- 1996 1996

- 65.7
- **69.4**
- **68.2**
- 78.5
- 80.8

Discussion and conclusions

- Geographic-based health surveillance using existing administrative data is feasible for the Inuit-inhabited areas of Canada
- Life expectancy results for Inuit areas appear reasonable compared to previous research—but need to estimate census undercount
- Disability rates and rate ratios vary widely across surveys—considerable caution is required!
- Mortality analyses should be extended to include causes of death and trends over time
- Health expectancies could be calculated for other years

Contact information

Russell Wilkins Health Analysis and Measurement Group Statistics Canada, RHC-24A Ottawa ON K1A 0T6 1-613-951-5305 Tel: 1-613-951-3959 Fax: **Email:** russell.wilkins@statcan.ca

Potential changes in health expectancy, by neighbourhood income, Canada, 1996

- If the poorest quintile had the mortality and disability rates of the richest quintile
 - Males + 7.7 DFLE 3.1 DLE = + 4.6 LE - Females + 6.0 DFLE - 4.2 DLE = + 1.8 LE