Epidemiological predictors of later life health: Elite Survival in Iowa EPESE cohort

followed to 'extinction'

Ambarish Dutta

Epidemiology and Public Health Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry

## Outline

- Background: Why choose the study and Iowa EPESE?
- Aim of the study
- Methods
  - Selection of predictors
  - Modeling
  - Cumulative score
- Results of modeling
- Discussion about the significant predictors

### Background

- Extreme longevity: still a rare phenomenon (only 7 out 100,000 survive beyond 100 years)
- But the most rapidly growing segment of the population in the industrialized world and also in emerging economies
- "Healthy and successful aging": "Elite Survivors" mostly healthy and active for longer too
- Elite Survivors may provide clues on how to avoid premature morbidity and mortality: help to design interventions

### Background contd..

- Many studies to identify determinants of extreme longevity
  - Okinawa, New England, Honolulu, Sardinian, Danish centenarian studies etc.
- Mostly cross sectional
  - Comparison group from younger population exposed to different diet, lifestyle, health care – "cohort effects"
- Dominated by females

### EPESE, Iowa

- Established Population for Epidemiological Study of Elderly
   Iowa (Iowa and Washington counties)
- All elderly people (>=65 years) dwelling in the community identified: 4601
- Baseline interviews conducted: 3674 (80% response rate, missing members demographically similar to others)
- Baseline interview: Nov 1981 to Jan 1983
- Last death followed up till 2008
- Death ascertained: 3482/3674 (95%)

### Aim:

Identification of early life and baseline predictors of extreme longevity in elderly males and females

### Methods

Classes of predictors:

- 1. Demographic
- 2. Social
- 3. General health and lifestyle
- 4. Cognition and mental health
- 5. Physical function

# 1. Demographic

Age at baseline



Birth order among siblings

Parental age at death

### 2. Social

Education

Family income

Marital status

 Support from social networks (children, friends and relatives)

### 3. General Health and Lifestyle

- Smoking
- Chronic diseases
- Self-reported health
- Body Mass Index at 50 years and at baseline
- Blood Pressure
- Sleep

# 4. Cognition and Mental Health

- Cognitive ability
  - SPMSQ
  - Self-rated memory and word recall
- Attitude towards life
- Depression
- Panic
- Anxiety

### 5. Physical Function

### Activities of daily living (ADL)

### Gross mobility and physical ability

Heavy chores, climbing stairs, walking <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile, pull/push heavy objects, stooping, raising arms, writing



### **Definition and Inclusion Criterion**

#### Elite survivors:

- Males: 9.11% = 94 + yrs
- Females: 8.44% = 97 + yrs

Included: 65-84 yrs at baseline, people who lived almost 10 years to become elite survivors

lived for >=3 yrs : to exclude terminally ill individuals

# Analysis

- Basic adjusted logistic regression model: individual variables (age, sex and smoking adjusted) and interaction
- Fully adjusted logistic regression model: Multiple variables with significant results (p<0.05) in previous analyses</li>
  - Baseline predictors
  - Early-life predictors

 Cumulative Score used for logistic regression and survival analysis



### Table 1a. Basic characteristics of participants\* in Established Population for theEpidemiological Study of the Elderly (EPESE), Iowa

	Male	Female
Longevity		
Age at baseline		
Median age at baseline (inter-quartile range)	72 (8)	74 (8)
Longevity beyond baseline		
Median years lived beyond baseline (inter-quartile range)	11 (10)	14 (11)
Age at death		
Median age at death (Inter-quartile range)	85 (9)	89 (9)
Elite survival (approximately top 10% longest-lived members in resp	pective groups)	
Numbers of elite survivors (cut-off age for elite survival)	99 (94 yrs)	154 (97 yrs)
Demographic characteristics		
Birth order of participants among siblings		
Median birth order (inter-quartile range)	3 (2)	3 (2)
Minimum-Maximum birth order of participants	1 to 19	1 to 15
Parents' age at death		
Both parents living >= 85 yrs (%)	57 (7%)	95 (7%)
One parent living >= 85 yrs (%)	285 (35%)	483 (35%)
Pregnancy		
At least one pregnancy (%)	NA	1319 (85%)
Social characteristics		
Marital status		
Never Married (%)	45 (4%)	104 (6%)
Spouse support		
Living with spouse (%)	783 (71%)	667 (39%)
Educational Status		
Less than 9 years (%)	499 (46%)	574 (34%)
9-12 years (%)	438 (40%)	759 (45%)
More than 12 years	154 (14%)	370 (22%)
Annual income (1981-83)		
< \$ 5,000 (%)	102 (12%)	339 (26%)
\$ 5000 - \$ 9999 (%)	277 (32%)	513 (39%)
> \$ 10, 000 (%)	488 (56%)	472 (36%)
Social network support from children, friends or relatives		
No support (%)	18 (2%)	16 (1%)
1 to 2 sources of support (%)	578 (66%)	1001 (69%)
3 sources of support (%)	279 (32%)	420 (29%)
Attitude towards life		
Negative attitude (%)	330 (37%)	563 (38%)
Intermediate attitude (%)	255 (29%)	433 (29%)
Positive attitude (%)	306 (34%)	474 (32%)

	Male	Female
General health and lifestyle characteristics		
Self-reported health		
Excellent (%)	208 (19%)	324 (19%)
Good (%)	580 (53%)	910 (54%)
Poor to very poor (%)	298 (27%)	465 (27%)
Systolic BP	. ,	
< 120 (%)	153 (17%)	252 (17%)
120 – 139 (%)	357 (42%)	565 (38%)
140 – 159 (%)	272 (30%)	464 (31%)
>159 (%)	117 (13%)	215 (14%)
Diastolic BP		( )
<80 (%)	608 (6%)	1056 (71%)
80 - 119 (%)	210 (23%)	326 (22%)
>119 (%)	81 (9%)	114(8%)
Sleep scores		( )
Maximum sleep difficulty (%)	365 (40%)	727 (48%)
Intermediate sleep difficulty (%)	366 40%)	530 (35%)
Minimum sleep difficulty (%)	185 (20%)	267 (17%)
Smoking		
Never smoked (%)	437 (40%)	1462 (86%)
Ex-smokers (%)	503 (46%)	121 (7%)
Current smokers (%)	152 (14%)	115 (7%)
Cognition and Mental health		
Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire		
Number (%) with less than full score	695 (47%)	786 (53%)
Self Assessed Memory Score		( )
Minimum memory score (%)	270 (33%)	458 (33%)
Intermediate memory score (%)	336 (41%)	611 (44%)
Maximum memory score (%)	219 (26%)	328 (23%)
Numbers of words recalled	- ( /	( /
Minimum numbers (%)	374 (46%)	592 (33%)
Intermediate numbers (%)	316 (39%)	612 (39%)
Maximum numbers (%)	134 (16%)	442 (29%)
Physical Functional Assessment		()
Activities of Daily Living (ADL)		
Numbers (%) with some difficulty	59 (6%)	152 (10%)
Gross mobility and physical ability		
Numbers (%) with difficulty in $< 2/7$ activities	208 (23%)	387 (27%)
Numbers (%) with difficulty in > $2/7$ activities	80 (9%)	233 (16%)
Exercise score	(•,•,	(.0,0)
Minimum exercise (%)	368 (33%)	643 (36%)
Moderate exercise (%)	598 (54%)	998 (56%)
Maximum exercise (%)	144 (13%)	149 (8%)

Table 1b. Basic characteristics of participants in Established Population	
for the Epidemiological Study of the Elderly (EPESE), Iowa	

Odss Ratios (95% CI) of baseline predictors



Odds Ratios (95% CI), Early Life Predictors



# Results (gender difference)

All predictors significant for females

Three predictors significant for females only: Significant sex interaction terms for these three variables
Parental longevity (both parents >85 yrs): p=0.05
Birth order: p=0.02
Systolic BP: p=0.04

### Results: multi-variable models

### Two multi-variable models

- First: Adjusted for age, sex, smoking and with early life predictors
- Second: Adjusted for age, sex, smoking and baseline predictors
- All predictors maintain significant association in fully-adjusted models
  - except positive attitude towards life
- Explain 19% of variability in longevity



#### Odds Ratios of Cumulative Survival Advantage Scores for Elite Survival



# Discussion

### Discussion

- Parental longevity
  - Strong association with elite survival of women (OR 3.55, 95% CI 1.93-6.53, p<0.0001)</li>
  - but not with men (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.45 2.98, p=0.75)
- Birth order among siblings
  - Significant association in women (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.81 0.98, p=0.02) with significant sex interaction
- Heredity or environmental influence? Heritable component overshadowed by male lifestyle-related predictors?
- Attitude towards life shaped mainly by presence/absence of chronic medical diseases and one's subjective perception of it: loss of significant association in adjusted model

### Discussion contd....

Social support, education and income: showed no association with extreme longevity in this dataset

BMI at baseline was not predictive

Word recall and heavy chores: predictive tests than SPMSQ/self-rated memory and ADL scores for community-dwelling elderly citizens

### Conclusion

- Contemporaneous control followed up to "extinction" (26 years)
- Predictors of extreme longevity from Iowa EPESE validates many previous findings
- Parental longevity and birth order among siblings: associated with females with significant sex interaction
- Some prominent social and psycho-social variables lack association with elite survival

#### Limitation:

- range restriction
- Homogenous population and limited generalizability

# Acknowledgement

Prof. David Melzer Prof. Robert Wallace Dr Iain Lang Dr William Henley