

# Spousal Education and Morbidity in the United States

Dustin C. Brown

Department of Sociology  
Population Research Center  
University of Texas at Austin

This research was supported by a research grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01 HD053696, P.I.: R. A. Hummer), a training grant from NICHD (5 T32 HD007081), and infrastructure grants from NICHD (R24 HD042849) and the National Institute on Aging (P30 AG17265).

**Population Research Center**  
The University of Texas at Austin



# Background

- Education shares an inverse association with most chronic and acute health problems.
- Social scientists typically conceptualize education as an individual-level resource.
- A growing body of research – primarily from Europe – suggests that education is a pooled, or household resource, within a marriage.
- Evidence linking spousal education to specific causes of morbidity is relatively sparse, particularly in the United States.
- The purpose of this study is to examine the association between spousal education and morbidity in the United States.



# Theoretical Perspectives

Two broad theoretical perspectives linking spousal education and health and/or mortality emerge from prior studies:

- Household Resource: Material and non-material resources at the individual-level are pooled within a marriage to become resources at the *household* or *family-level*
- Status Inconsistency: Status discrepancies between spouses that are inconsistent with broader social norms initiate the following general process:

Role Conflict → Stress → Poor Health → Death



# Previous Research

- Research drawing on data from European and Israeli populations generally supports the household resource perspective.
  - Outcomes: Self-rated health, all-cause mortality, CVD morbidity/mortality, alcohol consumption, smoking, and obesity
- The status inconsistency perspective is supported in some older studies from the United States
  - Outcomes: Psychological distress, CVD morbidity and mortality
- A few recent studies in the United States find little support for a link between spousal education and one's own health
  - Outcomes: Self-rated health, all-cause mortality



# Research Questions

- Is a spouse's education linked to his/her partner's health net of his/her own education?
- How are discrepant levels of education between spouses associated with each partner's health?
- Are there gender differences in the association between spousal education and health?
- To what extent do the associations outlined above vary across different health outcomes?



# Data

- National Health Interview Survey Adult Sample File (NHIS)
  - NHIS is a nationally representative cross-sectional survey of the U.S. non-institutionalized civilian population ages 18+
  - NHIS Sample Adult Files (1997 – 2009)
  - Response Rates: Household  $\approx$  90%; Sample Adult Files  $\approx$  80%
- Restrictions:
  - Ages 35 and over
  - Married at the time of interview
  - Non-Hispanic white, Non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic
  - Not missing on the outcome variables or covariates



# Outcomes

- Hypertension (e.g., “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have high blood pressure”?)
- Diabetes (e.g., “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes”?)
- Body-Mass Index -- Grouped into 3 Categories: “healthy weight” (BMI: 18.5-24.9), “overweight” (BMI: 25.0-29.9), and “obese” (BMI: 30.0+)



# Predictors

- Own and Spouse's Education
  - Years of completed formal schooling
  - < High School, High School, Some College, College Degree
- Age at Interview: 35 to 49, 50 to 64, 65 to 79, and 80+
- Race-Ethnicity: Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic
- Nativity: U.S.-Born vs. Not U.S.-Born
- Gender





# Methods

- Nested Logistic Regression Models Estimated Separately for Women & Men
- Model 1:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Model 2:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Model 3:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Own Education} * \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Additional models (Models 4 – 6) were estimated that included a control for the ratio of household income to the poverty threshold (Results Not Shown).
- Z-tests to evaluate gender differences in the effect of own and spouse's education.



Table 1: Odds of Diagnosed Hypertension

	Women (N = 43,373)			Men (N = 42,166)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.276***	1.650***	1.894***	1.392***	1.233***	1.011
HS	1.669***	1.386***	1.516***	1.277***	1.162***	1.277**
SCOL	1.371***	1.223***	1.213***	1.283***	1.205***	1.236***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.682***	1.466+		1.248***	1.267
HS		1.331***	1.368***		1.208***	1.303***
SCOL		1.238***	1.334***		1.126***	1.125*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.988			1.175
LTHS X HS			0.957			1.168
LTHS X SCOL			0.722			1.388
HS X LTHS			1.102			1.087
HS X HS			0.889			0.825+
HS X SCOL			0.828+			0.892
SCOL X LTHS			1.216			0.810
SCOL X HS			0.976			0.932
SCOL X SCOL			0.967			0.990
Log-Likelihood	-22,985	-22,926	-22,922	-25,138	-25,119	-25,108
BIC	46,077	45,991	46,078	50,382	50,377	50,451

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 2: Odds of Diagnosed Diabetes Mellitus

	Women (N = 43,373)			Men (N = 42,166)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	3.906***	2.342***	3.138***	2.016***	1.699***	1.507+
HS	2.411***	1.757***	2.442***	1.585***	1.383***	1.439**
SCOL	2.006***	1.646***	1.514**	1.649***	1.485***	1.593***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		2.276***	3.213***		1.405***	1.447
HS		1.650***	1.834***		1.337***	1.464***
SCOL		1.462***	1.645***		1.285***	1.285*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.530			1.074
LTHS X HS			0.722			1.045
LTHS X SCOL			0.943			1.353
HS X LTHS			0.551*			1.054
HS X HS			0.667+			0.830
HS X SCOL			0.612*			1.102
SCOL X LTHS			0.951			0.928
SCOL X HS			1.077			0.955
SCOL X SCOL			1.014			0.859
Log-Likelihood	-10,290	-10,221	-10,214	-12,846	-12,832	-12,826
BIC	20,687	20,581	20,663	25,799	25,802	25,886

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 3: Odds of Healthy Weight (BMI = 18.5 – 24.9) vs. Overweight (BMI = 25.0 – 29.9)

	Women (N = 42,801)			Men (N = 42,104)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.906***	1.386***	1.738**	1.007	1.013	0.814
HS	1.563***	1.268***	1.402***	1.173***	1.127**	1.352***
SCOL	1.432***	1.259***	1.288***	1.215***	1.171***	1.277***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.654***	1.520*	0.967		1.039
HS		1.422***	1.524***	1.108*		1.318***
SCOL		1.289***	1.413***	1.106**		1.123*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.866			1.268
LTHS X HS			0.714			1.024
LTHS X SCOL			0.855			1.196
HS X LTHS			1.018			0.730
HS X HS			0.851			0.709**
HS X SCOL			0.816+			0.842
SCOL X LTHS			1.155			0.740
SCOL X HS			0.956			0.763*
SCOL X SCOL			0.886			0.945
Log-Likelihood	-44,646	-44,386	-44,367	-43,377	-43,354	-43,335
BIC	89,504	89,049	89,204	86,966	86,985	87,138

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 4: Odds of Healthy Weight (BMI = 18.5 – 24.9) vs. Obese (BMI = 30.0+)

	Women (N = 42,801)			Men (N = 42,104)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	3.349***	1.852***	2.333***	1.759***	1.613***	1.228
HS	2.286***	1.546***	2.038***	1.715***	1.533***	1.904***
SCOL	2.004***	1.554***	1.624***	1.675***	1.528***	1.764***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		2.617***	2.911***	1.149*		1.983**
HS		1.931***	2.260***	1.281***		1.633***
SCOL		1.707***	2.003***	1.256***		1.263**
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.711			0.801
LTHS X HS			0.719			1.065
LTHS X SCOL			0.913			1.461
HS X LTHS			0.788			0.484**
HS X HS			0.660***			0.627***
HS X SCOL			0.621***			0.874
SCOL X LTHS			0.901			0.425**
SCOL X HS			0.899			0.706**
SCOL X SCOL			0.851			0.888
Log-Likelihood	-44,646	-44,386	-44,367	-43,377	-43,354	-43,335
BIC	89,504	89,049	89,204	86,966	86,985	87,138

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



# Summary

- A spouse's education is linked to his/her partner's health net of his/her partner's own education.
- The effect of a person's own education is attenuated after introducing controls for spousal education, particularly for women.
- The additive association between own and spousal education suggests that education is a household resource.
- Evidence for the status inconsistency perspective is limited in these analyses.



# Summary (continued)

- The results suggest that lower levels of own and spousal education are particularly detrimental to women. This is may be a consequence of mortality selection.
- These general patterns exist when younger adults (e.g., those ages 35 to 49) are not included (Analyses Not Shown).
- These general patterns remain after controlling for poverty status (Analyses Not Shown).



# Limitations & Next Steps

- There are important unmeasured factors that lead people to get or remain married to persons with more or less education than themselves.
- The analyses presented do not address the mechanisms through which own and spousal education influence various health outcomes.
- Mortality selection is not accounted for in the analyses.
- Additional work needs to be done to see if the results extend to other conditions and/or diseases.





# Conclusion

- Models omitting information on spousal education among the married may overestimate the importance of an individual's own education on his/her health.
- Researchers should seriously contemplate including spousal education in analyses of educational differences in morbidity among the married.
- Future research should carefully examine the mechanisms linking spousal education and morbidity.



# Supplementary Materials



**Population Research Center**  
The University of Texas at Austin

# Descriptive Statistics

Table 1A: Descriptive statistics for the sample, NHIS Adult Sample (1997 – 2009)

	Women		Men	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Hypertension	12,525	28.6	14,450	34.0
Diabetes	3,176	7.1	4,289	9.7
<u>Body Mass Index (BMI)</u>				
Healthy Weight (18.5 – 24.9)	18,390	43.2	10,093	23.4
Overweight (25.0 – 29.9)	13,816	31.3	20,634	48.6
Obese ( $\geq 30.0$ )	10,595	24.2	11,377	27.8
Mean BMI		26.6		27.9
<u>Psychological Distress (K6)</u>				
Serious Mental Illness (13 – 24)	1,189	2.7	756	1.7
Mean K6 Score (Range: 0 to 24)	43,375	2.3	42,174	1.8



# Descriptive Statistics

Table 1A: Descriptive statistics for the sample, NHIS Adult Sample (1997 – 2009)

	Women		Men	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Own Education</u>				
Less than high school	6,163	11.8	7,019	14.2
High school	13,404	31.2	11,496	27.4
Some college	12,290	29.0	10,841	26.1
College	11,518	28.0	12,818	32.3
<u>Spouse's Education</u>				
Less than high school	7,329	14.5	5,999	11.9
High school	12,135	28.2	13,424	31.8
Some college	10,713	25.1	11,746	28.5
College	13,198	32.1	11,005	27.8
<u>Income to Poverty</u>				
Poor (0.00 to 0.99)	2,239	4.2	2,045	4.0
Near Poor (1.00 to 1.99)	5,660	11.7	5,415	11.4
Not Poor (2.00 and over)	35,476	84.1	34,714	84.7



# Descriptive Statistics

Table 1A (Continued): Distribution of Own X Spouse's Education in the Analytic Sample

	Women		Men	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
< High School X < High School	4,059	7.4	3,885	7.3
< High School X High School	1,268	2.7	1,985	4.4
< High School X Some College	643	1.3	918	2.0
< High School X College	193	0.4	231	0.5
High School X < High School	2,109	4.6	1,236	2.6
High School X High School	6,623	15.5	6,438	15.4
High School X Some College	2,978	7.0	2,633	6.5
High School X College	1,694	4.1	1,189	2.9
Some College X < High School	943	2.1	643	1.4
Some College X High School	2,986	7.1	3,199	7.7
Some College X Some College	5,039	11.8	4,948	11.9
Some College X College	3,322	8.0	2,051	5.0
College X < High School	218	0.5	235	0.5
College X High School	1,258	3.0	1,802	4.3
College X Some College	2,053	5.0	3,247	8.1
College X College	7,989	19.6	7,534	19.4

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



# Descriptive Statistics

Table 1A (Continued): Descriptive Statistics for the Analytic Sample

	Women		Men	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Age</u>				
35 to 49	21,348	48.7	16,791	41.8
50 to 64	14,495	34.5	15,192	36.7
65 to 79	6,528	14.4	8,482	17.9
80 and over	1,004	2.4	1,709	3.6
Mean	43,375	52.0	42,174	54.3
<u>Race-Ethnicity</u>				
Non-Hispanic White	34,102	84.9	33,061	83.7
Non-Hispanic Black	3,337	6.5	3,642	7.4
Hispanic (Any Race)	5,936	8.6	5,471	8.9
Immigrant	5,620	9.9	5,291	10.1



# Outcomes

- Cardiovascular Health: Coronary heart disease, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, stroke, hypertension, and/or other heart conditions or diseases
- Metabolic Health: Diabetes Mellitus, Body-Mass Index (3 Categories: “healthy weight” (BMI: 18.5-24.9), “overweight” (BMI: 25.0-29.9), and “obese” (BMI: 30.0+))
- Functional Limitations: Limited in any way in social activities, pushing/pulling large objects, stooping, walking, climbing stairs, sitting/standing, reaching, grasping, and/or carrying objects
- Psychological Distress (K6): Six items measuring non-specific psychological distress. Each item ranges from 0 to 4. Dichotomized 0 – 12 vs. 13 – 24 to indicate “serious mental illness” (Kessler, et al. 2010).



# Functional Limitations

- “Limited in any way” on one or more of the following:
- “By yourself, and without any special equipment, how difficult is it for you to.....”
  - “. . . push or pull large objects like a living room chair?”
  - “. . . go out to things like shopping, movies, or sporting events?”
  - “. . . participate in social activities such as visiting friends, attending clubs and meetings, and going to parties?”
  - “. . . do things to relax at home or for leisure (reading, watching TV, sewing, listening to music)?”
  - “. . . walk a quarter of a mile--about 3 city blocks?”
  - “. . . walk up 10 steps without resting?”
  - “. . . stand or be on your feet for about 2 hours?”
  - “. . . sit for about 2 hours?”
  - “. . . stoop, bend, or kneel?”
  - “. . . reach up over your head?”
  - “. . . use your fingers to grasp or handle small objects?”
  - “. . . lift or carry something as heavy as 10 pounds, such as a full bag of groceries?”





# Psychological Distress

Items on the Kessler Six Scale (K6) (Summed and Dichotomized, Range: 0-24)

- How often, during the past 30 days, the respondent felt:
  - So sad that nothing could cheer you up?
  - Nervous?
  - Restless or fidgety?
  - Hopeless?
  - That everything was an effort?
  - Worthless?
- According to Kessler, et al. (2010), scores above 13 on the scale correlate with the presence of “serious mental illness.”



# Supplementary Results: Poverty

- The models presented in the previous slides were also estimated with an additional control for the ratio of income to the poverty threshold.
- Model 1:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Poverty} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Model 2:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Poverty} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Model 3:  $Y = \text{Own Education} + \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Own Education} * \text{Spouse's Education} + \text{Poverty} + \text{Age} + \text{Race} + \text{Nativity}$
- Ratio of Household Income to the Poverty Threshold: “Poor” (0.00 to 0.99), “Near Poor” (1.00 to 1.99), “Not Poor” (2.00 to 5.00)



Table 1A: Odds of Diagnosed Hypertension Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,373)			Men (N = 42,166)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.066***	1.578***	1.843***	1.360***	1.220***	1.009
HS	1.612***	1.366***	1.509***	1.268***	1.159***	1.275**
SCOL	1.349***	1.215***	1.209***	1.280***	1.205***	1.235***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.605***	1.439+		1.232***	1.250
HS		1.313***	1.364***		1.205***	1.301***
SCOL		1.230***	1.331***		1.125**	1.124*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.945			1.163
LTHS X HS			0.930			1.157
LTHS X SCOL			0.715			1.382
HS X LTHS			1.059			1.089
HS X HS			0.873			0.824+
HS X SCOL			0.820+			0.892
SCOL X LTHS			1.193			0.816
SCOL X HS			0.966			0.931
SCOL X SCOL			0.960			0.991
Log-Likelihood	-22,959	-22,912	-22,907	-25,132	-25,114	-25,103
BIC	46,045	45,983	46,070	50,392	50,389	50,462

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 2A: Odds of Diagnosed Diabetes Mellitus Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,373)			Men (N = 42,166)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	3.067***	2.065***	2.908***	1.880***	1.629***	1.482+
HS	2.197***	1.679***	2.403***	1.542***	1.361***	1.428**
SCOL	1.920***	1.612***	1.500**	1.629***	1.477***	1.584***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.999***	3.033***		1.350***	1.408
HS		1.584***	1.822**		1.319***	1.453***
SCOL		1.434***	1.637***		1.277***	1.279*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.471+			1.036
LTHS X HS			0.662			1.012
LTHS X SCOL			0.915			1.325
HS X LTHS			0.496*			1.031
HS X HS			0.631*			0.820
HS X SCOL			0.593*			1.091
SCOL X LTHS			0.901			0.920
SCOL X HS			1.042			0.952
SCOL X SCOL			0.990			0.859
Log-Likelihood	-10,220	-10,173	-10,164	-12,837	-12,825	-12,819
BIC	20,569	20,506	20,584	25,802	25,810	25,893

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 3A: Odds of Healthy Weight (BMI = 18.5 – 24.9) vs. Overweight (BMI = 25.0 – 29.9) Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.817***	1.365***	1.719**	1.113*	1.083	0.831
HS	1.538***	1.263***	1.400***	1.216***	1.153***	1.368***
SCOL	1.422***	1.257***	1.287***	1.234***	1.181***	1.289***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.626***	1.509*		1.032	1.091
HS		1.415***	1.521***		1.130**	1.334***
SCOL		1.286***	1.411***		1.115**	1.130*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.851			1.351
LTHS X HS			0.706			1.085
LTHS X SCOL			0.853			1.238
HS X LTHS			1.002			0.750
HS X HS			0.845			0.722**
HS X SCOL			0.813+			0.852
SCOL X LTHS			1.147			0.743
SCOL X HS			0.953			0.766*
SCOL X SCOL			0.884			0.945
Log-Likelihood	-44,581	-44,352	-44,332	-43,344	-43,322	-43,302
BIC	89,418	89,024	89,175	86,944	86,963	87,116

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 5: Odds of Reporting Joint Pain Persisting for 30+ Days (2002 – 2009)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.616***	1.392***	1.097	1.526***	1.398***	1.389
HS	1.218***	1.115*	1.132	1.315***	1.239***	1.341**
SCOL	1.356***	1.286***	1.374***	1.374***	1.299***	1.366***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.273***	1.156		1.201**	1.084
HS		1.154**	1.365**		1.117*	1.110
SCOL		1.101*	1.093		1.171***	1.271***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.331			1.046
LTHS X HS			1.157			1.103
LTHS X SCOL			1.712+			1.059
HS X LTHS			1.204			1.270
HS X HS			0.790+			0.928
HS X SCOL			1.111			0.820
SCOL X LTHS			1.087			1.127
SCOL X HS			0.851			0.990
SCOL X SCOL			0.889			0.866
Log-Likelihood	-15,660	-15,645	-15,634	-15,576	-15,566	-15,560
BIC	31,421	31,422	31,491	31,253	31,263	31,341

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



Table 5A: Odds of Reporting Joint Pain Persisting for 30+ Days Controlling for Poverty (2002 – 2009)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.413***	1.288***	1.055	1.383***	1.310***	1.356
HS	1.163***	1.087+	1.123	1.268***	1.212***	1.331**
SCOL	1.330***	1.275***	1.369***	1.352***	1.288***	1.350***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.172**	1.122		1.128+	1.029
HS		1.127*	1.358**		1.093+	1.097
SCOL		1.088+	1.087		1.161***	1.261***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.213			0.992
LTHS X HS			1.089			1.041
LTHS X SCOL			1.667+			1.031
HS X LTHS			1.116			1.239
HS X HS			0.763*			0.907
HS X SCOL			1.093			0.805+
SCOL X LTHS			1.045			1.126
SCOL X HS			0.835			0.986
SCOL X SCOL			0.880			0.870
Log-Likelihood	-15,631	-15,622	-15,610	-15,554	-15,545	-15,538
BIC	31,384	31,396	31,463	31,228	31,241	31,319

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



Table 4A: Odds of Healthy Weight (BMI = 18.5 – 24.9) vs. Obese (BMI = 30.0+) Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.831***	1.710***	2.208***	1.922***	1.719***	1.250
HS	2.153***	1.505***	2.019***	1.770***	1.565***	1.926***
SCOL	1.950***	1.537***	1.615***	1.698***	1.539***	1.779***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		2.413***	2.817***		1.222**	2.078***
HS		1.887***	2.246***		1.304***	1.651***
SCOL		1.687***	1.990***		1.266***	1.270***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.661			0.851
LTHS X HS			0.685			1.126
LTHS X SCOL			0.904			1.509+
HS X LTHS			0.733			0.495**
HS X HS			0.639***			0.637***
HS X SCOL			0.612***			0.883
SCOL X LTHS			0.870			0.426**
SCOL X HS			0.883			0.708**
SCOL X SCOL			0.842+			0.888
Log-Likelihood	-44,581	-44,352	-44,332	-43,344	-43,322	-43,302
BIC	89,418	89,024	89,175	86,944	86,963	87,116

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**





# Supplementary Results – Additional Outcomes



Table 7: Odds of Reporting Any Activity Limitation

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	3.949***	2.663***	2.397***	3.634***	2.814***	3.598***
HS	2.051***	1.639***	1.498***	2.013***	1.689***	1.614***
SCOL	1.938***	1.673***	1.635***	1.803***	1.600***	1.611***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.921***	2.087**		1.653***	1.738**
HS		1.386***	1.169		1.417***	1.463***
SCOL		1.349***	1.298*		1.271***	1.261**
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.907			0.696
LTHS X HS			1.605+			0.806
LTHS X SCOL			1.219			0.842
HS X LTHS			1.013			1.239
HS X HS			1.237			0.967
HS X SCOL			1.171			1.101
SCOL X LTHS			1.206			0.919
SCOL X HS			1.137			1.012
SCOL X SCOL			0.990			0.974
Log-Likelihood	-15,951	-15,882	-15,867	-16,383	-16,346	-16,332
BIC	32,010	31,902	31,969	32,873	32,830	32,898

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 7A: Odds of Reporting Any Activity Limitation Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.808***	2.194***	2.130***	2.520***	2.217***	3.314***
HS	1.800***	1.529***	1.460***	1.731***	1.537***	1.530***
SCOL	1.828***	1.628***	1.617***	1.688***	1.547***	1.549***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.555***	1.895**		1.291***	1.436+
HS		1.299***	1.156		1.301***	1.388***
SCOL		1.308***	1.290*		1.224***	1.221*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.756			0.565*
LTHS X HS			1.414			0.660*
LTHS X SCOL			1.162			0.746
HS X LTHS			0.863			1.130
HS X HS			1.135			0.905
HS X SCOL			1.117			1.055
SCOL X LTHS			1.117			0.894
SCOL X HS			1.080			0.999
SCOL X SCOL			0.951			0.979
Log-Likelihood	-15,703	-15,672	-15,656	-15,995	-15,981	-15,963
BIC	31,534	31,504	31,568	32,118	32,121	32,182

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

The University of Texas at Austin



Table 8: Odds of Reporting the Presence of Any Functional Limitations

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.806***	2.036***	2.123***	2.426***	2.055***	2.099***
HS	1.732***	1.451***	1.411***	1.697***	1.547***	1.373***
SCOL	1.649***	1.480***	1.438***	1.542***	1.442***	1.558***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.698***	1.251	1.399***		1.469*
HS		1.302***	1.191*	1.182***		1.090
SCOL		1.223***	1.254***	1.151***		1.211***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.214			0.878
LTHS X HS			1.157			1.137
LTHS X SCOL			0.974			0.985
HS X LTHS			1.425+			1.289
HS X HS			1.093			1.212+
HS X SCOL			1.018			1.070
SCOL X LTHS			1.646**			0.829
SCOL X HS			1.141			1.029
SCOL X SCOL			0.957			0.849+
Log-Likelihood	-26,778	-26,694	-26,683	-24,957	-24,929	-24,917
BIC	53,663	53,527	53,600	50,020	49,996	50,068

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

The University of Texas at Austin



Table 8A: Odds of Any Functional Limitations Controlling Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	2.304***	1.824***	1.981***	1.967***	1.791***	2.015***
HS	1.618***	1.402***	1.394***	1.571***	1.476***	1.339***
SCOL	1.600***	1.460***	1.429***	1.491***	1.418***	1.530***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.515***	1.195		1.223***	1.333+
HS		1.263***	1.182*		1.133**	1.062
SCOL		1.204***	1.246***		1.129**	1.193**
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.090			0.767
LTHS X HS			1.084			1.007
LTHS X SCOL			0.955			0.917
HS X LTHS			1.294			1.217
HS X HS			1.047			1.172
HS X SCOL			0.996			1.043
SCOL X LTHS			1.570*			0.817
SCOL X HS			1.116			1.021
SCOL X SCOL			0.942			0.849+
Log-Likelihood	-26,642	-26,588	-26,577	-24,750	-24,737	-24,725
BIC	53,413	53,337	53,411	49,627	49,634	49,705

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



Table 8: Odds of Diagnosed Cancer (Excludes Basal Cell Carcinoma – Skin Cancer)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.075	0.998	0.520+	0.993	0.929	1.713*
HS	0.960	0.914	1.010	0.928	0.879*	0.981
SCOL	1.059	1.026	1.105	0.943	0.892+	0.808
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.125	1.640+		1.166+	0.895
HS		1.083	1.239		1.132+	1.191+
SCOL		1.064	1.081		1.194**	1.227*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.288			0.743
LTHS X HS			1.771			0.487**
LTHS X SCOL			2.665*			0.470*
HS X LTHS			0.668			1.223
HS X HS			0.788			0.837
HS X SCOL			0.901			0.905
SCOL X LTHS			0.635			1.212
SCOL X HS			0.842			1.147
SCOL X SCOL			0.909			1.086
Log-Likelihood	-11,011	-11,010	-11,003	-9,790	-9,787	-9,780
BIC	22,130	22,160	22,241	19,687	19,712	19,794

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 8A: Odds of Diagnosed Cancer (Excludes Basal Cell Carcinoma – Skin Cancer) Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	0.999	0.957	0.510+	0.943	0.897	1.686*
HS	0.934	0.901	1.006	0.909	0.867*	0.973
SCOL	1.046	1.021	1.102	0.934	0.887+	0.804
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.073	1.607+		1.131	0.879
HS		1.066	1.233		1.122+	1.184
SCOL		1.056	1.077		1.190*	1.223*
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.226			0.720
LTHS X HS			1.707			0.475**
LTHS X SCOL			2.630*			0.463*
HS X LTHS			0.641			1.198
HS X HS			0.773			0.829
HS X SCOL			0.891			0.899
SCOL X LTHS			0.623			1.199
SCOL X HS			0.833			1.144
SCOL X SCOL			0.901			1.086
Log-Likelihood	-11,008	-11,007	-11,000	-9,788	-9,784	-9,777
BIC	22,143	22,174	22,255	19,703	19,727	19,810

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**



Table 9: Odds of Reporting Joint Pain Persisting for 30+ Days (2002 – 2009)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.616***	1.392***	1.097	1.526***	1.398***	1.389
HS	1.218***	1.115*	1.132	1.315***	1.239***	1.341**
SCOL	1.356***	1.286***	1.374***	1.374***	1.299***	1.366***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.273***	1.156		1.201**	1.084
HS		1.154**	1.365**		1.117*	1.110
SCOL		1.101*	1.093		1.171***	1.271***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.331			1.046
LTHS X HS			1.157			1.103
LTHS X SCOL			1.712+			1.059
HS X LTHS			1.204			1.270
HS X HS			0.790+			0.928
HS X SCOL			1.111			0.820
SCOL X LTHS			1.087			1.127
SCOL X HS			0.851			0.990
SCOL X SCOL			0.889			0.866
Log-Likelihood	-15,660	-15,645	-15,634	-15,576	-15,566	-15,560
BIC	31,421	31,422	31,491	31,253	31,263	31,341

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$

**Population Research Center**

**The University of Texas at Austin**





Table 9A: Odds of Reporting Joint Pain Persisting for 30+ Days Controlling for Poverty (2002 – 2009)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	1.413***	1.288***	1.055	1.383***	1.310***	1.356
HS	1.163***	1.087+	1.123	1.268***	1.212***	1.331**
SCOL	1.330***	1.275***	1.369***	1.352***	1.288***	1.350***
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.172**	1.122		1.128+	1.029
HS		1.127*	1.358**		1.093+	1.097
SCOL		1.088+	1.087		1.161***	1.261***
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			1.213			0.992
LTHS X HS			1.089			1.041
LTHS X SCOL			1.667+			1.031
HS X LTHS			1.116			1.239
HS X HS			0.763*			0.907
HS X SCOL			1.093			0.805+
SCOL X LTHS			1.045			1.126
SCOL X HS			0.835			0.986
SCOL X SCOL			0.880			0.870
Log-Likelihood	-15,631	-15,622	-15,610	-15,554	-15,545	-15,538
BIC	31,384	31,396	31,463	31,228	31,241	31,319

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



Table 5: Odds of Serious Mental Illness (K6 Score of 0 – 12 vs. 13 – 24)

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>	<u>Model 1</u>	<u>Model 2</u>	<u>Model 3</u>
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	9.429***	5.629***	4.284**	6.215***	4.035***	6.948***
HS	3.565***	2.701***	2.575***	2.837***	2.364***	1.821+
SCOL	2.901***	2.373***	2.242***	2.025***	1.825***	2.179**
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		2.410***	3.338*		2.317***	5.076***
HS		1.470**	1.305		1.301+	1.495
SCOL		1.558***	1.317		1.127	1.029
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.805			0.229**
LTHS X HS			2.001			0.681
LTHS X SCOL			1.664			0.747
HS X LTHS			0.865			0.895
HS X HS			1.088			1.123
HS X SCOL			1.095			1.304
SCOL X LTHS			0.924			0.482
SCOL X HS			0.934			0.567
SCOL X SCOL			1.261			1.033
Log-Likelihood	-5,196	-5,166	-5,158	-3,656	-3,635	-3,620
BIC	10,499	10,471	10,551	7,418	7,409	7,474

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



Table 5A: Odds of Serious Mental Illness (Kessler Six Score of 13- 24) Controlling for Poverty

	Women (N = 43,375)			Men (N = 42,174)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Own Ed</u>						
LTHS	5.986***	4.360***	3.503*	3.136***	2.599***	5.897***
HS	2.977***	2.451***	2.473**	2.087***	1.968***	1.632
SCOL	2.667***	2.276***	2.195***	1.745***	1.692***	1.998**
<u>Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS		1.821***	2.962*		1.515*	3.532**
HS		1.341*	1.281		1.074	1.343
SCOL		1.485**	1.293		1.026	0.961
<u>Own X Spouse's Ed</u>						
LTHS X LTHS			0.668			0.169**
LTHS X HS			1.761			0.464
LTHS X SCOL			1.610			0.583
HS X LTHS			0.695			0.774
HS X HS			0.978			0.992
HS X SCOL			1.037			1.190
SCOL X LTHS			0.819			0.470
SCOL X HS			0.876			0.547
SCOL X SCOL			1.211			1.044
Log-Likelihood	-5,090	-5,078	-5,070	-3,508	-3,502	-3,487
BIC	10,309	10,316	10,397	7,144	7,164	7,229

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , +  $p < 0.10$



# Descriptive Statistics

Table 1A: Descriptive statistics for the sample, NHIS Adult Sample (1997 – 2009)

	Women		Men	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Any Heart Condition	15,120	34.7	17,562	41.2
Non-Hypertension	2,593	6.1	3,104	7.2
Hypertension	12,525	28.6	14,450	34.0
Diabetes Mellitus	3,176	7.1	4,289	9.7
<u>Body Mass Index (BMI)</u>				
Underweight ( $\leq 18.49$ )	574	1.3	70	0.2
Healthy Weight (18.5 – 24.9)	18,390	43.2	10,093	23.4
Overweight (25.0 – 29.9)	13,816	31.3	20,634	48.6
Obese ( $\geq 30.0$ )	10,595	24.2	11,377	27.8
Mean BMI		26.6		27.9
Functional Limitations	16,898	39.3	14,616	34.1
<u>Psychological Distress (K6)</u>				
Serious Mental Illness (13 – 24)	1,189	2.7	756	1.7
Mean K6 Score (Range: 0 to 24)	43,375	2.3	42,174	1.8

**Population Research Center**

The University of Texas at Austin



# Activity Limitations

**“Limited in any way”** on one or more of the following:

- "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional problem, does [person] need the help of other persons with personal care needs, such as eating, bathing, dressing, or getting around inside this home?"
- "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional problem, does [person] need the help of other persons in handling routine needs, such as everyday household chores, doing necessary business, shopping, or getting around for other purposes?"
- "Does a physical, mental, or emotional problem now keep [person] from working at a job or business?"
- "Is [person] limited in the kind or amount of work [he/she] can do because of a physical, mental or emotional problem?"
- "Because of a health problem, does [person] have difficulty walking without using any special equipment?"
- "Is [person] limited in any way because of difficulty remembering or because [he/she] experiences periods of confusion?"
- "Is [person] limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems?"

